

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/892,834	HANCOCK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Carlos Lopez	1731

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Carlos Lopez. (3) _____.

(2) Marc Richards. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 July 2003

Time: 4pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

22-46

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

In order to advance prosecution of the instant application, Applicant's representative agreed to the cancellation of claims 22-31, 41-46. Additionally applicant's representative pointed to the grammar error at page 19 line 5 and suggested to delete ",such as"

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)